

PARNELL MUST GO, But He Will Not Go Until He Is Forced. GLADSTONE WON'T HAVE HIM

Series, McCarthy and Others Anxious He
Should Retire.

HOME RULE DOOMED IF HE STAYS.

A member of Parliament cables from London: The most complicated political situation of modern times is the approaching settlement between the two leaders of Home Rule. Mr. Gladstone and Mr. Parnell have taken up a final attitude, nothing remaining but for the Irish members to call another meeting to-day. It was thoroughly understood that Mr. Gladstone would resign all responsibility for his party and abandon Home Rule if Mr. Parnell refused to act upon his advice. Mr. Parnell came to the House to-day equally determined to stand fast to his own position. He considers that he made his party without Mr. Gladstone's assistance, and that he could hold his own without his help. He does not see why he should be driven out at the orders of a man who was a bitter enemy of the Home Rulers down to the year 1885. He therefore met his followers this afternoon with his back to the wall, determined to fight to the last. Probably he had not calculated upon the vigor of the attack destined to be levelled at him.

It became obvious as soon as the meeting had opened that Mr. Gladstone's letter, supported as it is by the entire English party, had produced a profound impression upon the most devoted of Mr. Parnell's followers. Mr. Sexton declared that neither he nor his colleagues were aware of the existence of the letter yesterday, therefore the conclusion they arrived at was owing to a false impression. He expressed a very decided opinion that Mr. Parnell ought to resign to prevent the utter destruction of the Home Rule cause. In forcible and earnest language he begged the Nationalists not to throw away the services of Mr. Gladstone and the English Liberal party, just as victory was within reach. His remarks were loudly applauded. Mr. Parnell sat grim and silent.

Mr. Justin McCarthy followed in precisely the same line. He is a devoted adherent of Mr. Parnell, but he expressed the fear that if the friendship and support of the great Liberal party were forfeited now, Ireland would suffer for generations to come. All the weary road they had traversed would have to be gone over again. He expressed the deepest regret at the thought of parting with his chief, but there was no hope in any other course.

Other members followed with similar views to the number of sixteen or seventeen. Only Mr. Kenny and Col. Nolan sought to contend that Mr. Parnell's resignation was unnecessary. They are men of no weight or influence in the party. Mr. Parnell by this time saw it was not worth his while to continue to fight. A resolution was submitted calling for a formal meeting of the party on Friday next to decide whether Mr. Parnell should resign. This was opposed by Mr. Parnell's friends. An adjournment was then taken till 5 o'clock, in order that the members might go to the House of Commons and get the first reading of their bills. Mr. Parnell went with them, and took his usual seat, with a hard, stern look on his face.

The meeting was resumed at 5 o'clock, and lasted till a quarter past 6. Much animated discussion again took place. Mr. Parnell himself spoke several times, to the effect that his party could not afford to submit to the absolute dictation of Mr. Gladstone or any other Englishman. If this were once done the independence of the Irish scheme would be gone. There was much excitement during the prolonged discussion. Ultimately it was decided to adjourn till Monday to allow of Messrs. Dillon, O'Brien and O'Connor being communicated with. This was desired by the whole body of the meeting, but Mr. Parnell would have preferred an immediate decision in his favor, but this he could not obtain. He will not give up. If he goes, he must be forced out. The party in favor of his withdrawal regard the adjournment as virtually deciding the matter in their favor. It is not doubted that Mr. Dillon and colleagues will counsel the temporary retirement of their chief.

The House had adjourned before the Irish meeting had concluded, but several members remained behind to hear the result, and the news of it was immediately despatched to Mr. Gladstone, who did not come near the place to-day.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

WELSH MEMBERS WITH MR. GLADSTONE.
The Welsh members of the party had a meeting to-day, and resolved that they were convinced that the price of retaining Mr. Parnell in the leadership would be the postponement of Home Rule beyond the span of Mr. Gladstone's public life, and that they are more determined than ever to rally to the support of Mr. Gladstone.

THE PARNELLITE MEETING.
Though last night Mr. Parnell refused to call a meeting of the Irish members of the House of Commons, a meeting was held in the House to-day. The room was carefully guarded from intrusion, a member of Parliament standing guard. Mr. Parnell was present and presided. Silence was observed by every member as he entered the room. After calling the meeting to order Mr. Parnell explained that he had called the meeting at the request of the members of the party. The meeting lasted for half an hour. There was great divergence of opinion manifested throughout the discussion. No definite action was taken, and the meeting adjourned to re-

semble at 5 o'clock this evening. It was learned after the adjournment that a strong feeling in favor of Parnell's resigning the leadership was manifested, but the minority vehemently opposed it.

A SECRET SCENE.
A secret scene occurred at the meeting of the Parnellite members of the House of Commons last evening, after the letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley had been made public. The action of Mr. McCarthy in not making known the contents of Mr. Gladstone's letter at the meeting of the Parnellites yesterday morning was severely criticized. A majority of the Parnellite members present at the meeting last night said they had believed, previous to the meeting in the morning, that Mr. Parnell, after his re-election, would not take an active part in politics for a few months. They now believe if Mr. Gladstone's letter had been read at the morning meeting the result of the motion to retain Mr. Parnell in the leadership would have been different.

A GIBE REBUKED.
Lord Salisbury, in a speech in the House of Lords yesterday, said the Parnellites had various modes of escaping, some preferring to go to the United States, and others to the Continent. (The *Chronicle* today describes this gibe as vulgar and undignified, and adds: "Lord Salisbury might have remembered that he was addressing a chamber where his own unchallenged social position was as well established as that of any other member of the House of Lords.")

LONDON.—Mr. Parnell has issued the following manifesto:
To the Irish People:
The integrity and independence of a section of the Irish Parliamentary party have been apparently secured and destroyed by the wire-pullers of the Liberal party. It is necessary for me, as leader of the Irish party, to take counsel with you, and having given you the knowledge which is my possession, I ask your judgment upon a matter which now solely devolves upon you to decide.

The letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley, written for the purpose of influencing the decision of the Irish party in the choice of their leaders, and claiming for the Liberals and the English party the right of veto upon that choice, is the immediate cause of this address, the purpose of which is to remind you and your parliamentary representatives that Ireland considers the independence of her party the only safeguard within the constitution and above and beyond all other considerations whatever. The threat in that letter, repeated so often, that on many English platforms, and in numerous British newspapers, that unless Ireland concedes indefinitely postponed the choice of obtaining home rule, she will be put before you information which will enable you to understand the measure of the loss which she is threatened unless you consent to throw me and the English wolves now howling for my destruction.

CONSULTING WITH GLADSTONE.
In November of last year, in response to a repeated and long-standing request, Mr. Gladstone at Hawarden and received the details of the intended proposals of himself and his colleagues of the late Liberal party, with regard to home rule in the event of the next general election favoring the Liberal party. It is unnecessary for me to do more at present than to direct your attention to certain facts and details which will be generally recognized and embraced elements vital for your information and the formation of your judgment. These vital points of difficulty may be summarized as follows:

- (1) The retention of Irish members in the Imperial Parliament.
- (2) The settlement of the land or agrarian difficulty in Ireland.
- (3) The control of the Irish consular.
- (4) The appointment of the judiciary, including judges and resident magistrates.

Upon the subject of the retention of Irish members in Parliament Mr. Gladstone told me that the opinion and the honest opinion of his colleagues and himself, recently arrived at after the most mature consideration of all the English public opinion, was that in order to conciliate English public opinion, and to secure English public representation from 1893 to 1900, the settlement of the land question was held that this was one of the questions which must be regarded as a reserved question, and that the control of the Irish Legislature, but at the same time Mr. Gladstone intimated that while he would renew his attempt to settle the matter by Imperial legislation on the line of the Land Bill of 1886, he would not under any circumstances adopt his own side, or insist upon any pressure upon the views of the Irish members, that the Irish Legislature was not to be given the power of solving the agrarian difficulty.

With regard to the control of the Irish consular, it was stated by Mr. Gladstone that public opinion here and his colleagues held that it would be necessary to leave this force to the appointment of its officers under control of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

WISHED TO KEEP THEM THAT.
I have now given a short account of what I gathered of Mr. Gladstone's views and those of his colleagues during the two hours' conversation at Hawarden, a conversation which I am bound to admit was only monopolized by Mr. Gladstone, and will pass to the subject of opinion upon these communications, and, first, with regard to the retention of the Irish members, the position which I have already adopted, and which I then represented, is that with the concession of full powers to an Irish Legislature, equivalent to that enjoyed by a State of the American Union, the number and position of the members of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

WELSH MEMBERS WITH MR. GLADSTONE.
The Welsh members of the party had a meeting to-day, and resolved that they were convinced that the price of retaining Mr. Parnell in the leadership would be the postponement of Home Rule beyond the span of Mr. Gladstone's public life, and that they are more determined than ever to rally to the support of Mr. Gladstone.

semble at 5 o'clock this evening. It was learned after the adjournment that a strong feeling in favor of Parnell's resigning the leadership was manifested, but the minority vehemently opposed it.

A SECRET SCENE.
A secret scene occurred at the meeting of the Parnellite members of the House of Commons last evening, after the letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley had been made public. The action of Mr. McCarthy in not making known the contents of Mr. Gladstone's letter at the meeting of the Parnellites yesterday morning was severely criticized. A majority of the Parnellite members present at the meeting last night said they had believed, previous to the meeting in the morning, that Mr. Parnell, after his re-election, would not take an active part in politics for a few months. They now believe if Mr. Gladstone's letter had been read at the morning meeting the result of the motion to retain Mr. Parnell in the leadership would have been different.

A GIBE REBUKED.
Lord Salisbury, in a speech in the House of Lords yesterday, said the Parnellites had various modes of escaping, some preferring to go to the United States, and others to the Continent. (The *Chronicle* today describes this gibe as vulgar and undignified, and adds: "Lord Salisbury might have remembered that he was addressing a chamber where his own unchallenged social position was as well established as that of any other member of the House of Lords.")

LONDON.—Mr. Parnell has issued the following manifesto:
To the Irish People:
The integrity and independence of a section of the Irish Parliamentary party have been apparently secured and destroyed by the wire-pullers of the Liberal party. It is necessary for me, as leader of the Irish party, to take counsel with you, and having given you the knowledge which is my possession, I ask your judgment upon a matter which now solely devolves upon you to decide.

The letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley, written for the purpose of influencing the decision of the Irish party in the choice of their leaders, and claiming for the Liberals and the English party the right of veto upon that choice, is the immediate cause of this address, the purpose of which is to remind you and your parliamentary representatives that Ireland considers the independence of her party the only safeguard within the constitution and above and beyond all other considerations whatever. The threat in that letter, repeated so often, that on many English platforms, and in numerous British newspapers, that unless Ireland concedes indefinitely postponed the choice of obtaining home rule, she will be put before you information which will enable you to understand the measure of the loss which she is threatened unless you consent to throw me and the English wolves now howling for my destruction.

CONSULTING WITH GLADSTONE.
In November of last year, in response to a repeated and long-standing request, Mr. Gladstone at Hawarden and received the details of the intended proposals of himself and his colleagues of the late Liberal party, with regard to home rule in the event of the next general election favoring the Liberal party. It is unnecessary for me to do more at present than to direct your attention to certain facts and details which will be generally recognized and embraced elements vital for your information and the formation of your judgment. These vital points of difficulty may be summarized as follows:

- (1) The retention of Irish members in the Imperial Parliament.
- (2) The settlement of the land or agrarian difficulty in Ireland.
- (3) The control of the Irish consular.
- (4) The appointment of the judiciary, including judges and resident magistrates.

Upon the subject of the retention of Irish members in Parliament Mr. Gladstone told me that the opinion and the honest opinion of his colleagues and himself, recently arrived at after the most mature consideration of all the English public opinion, was that in order to conciliate English public opinion, and to secure English public representation from 1893 to 1900, the settlement of the land question was held that this was one of the questions which must be regarded as a reserved question, and that the control of the Irish Legislature, but at the same time Mr. Gladstone intimated that while he would renew his attempt to settle the matter by Imperial legislation on the line of the Land Bill of 1886, he would not under any circumstances adopt his own side, or insist upon any pressure upon the views of the Irish members, that the Irish Legislature was not to be given the power of solving the agrarian difficulty.

With regard to the control of the Irish consular, it was stated by Mr. Gladstone that public opinion here and his colleagues held that it would be necessary to leave this force to the appointment of its officers under control of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

WISHED TO KEEP THEM THAT.
I have now given a short account of what I gathered of Mr. Gladstone's views and those of his colleagues during the two hours' conversation at Hawarden, a conversation which I am bound to admit was only monopolized by Mr. Gladstone, and will pass to the subject of opinion upon these communications, and, first, with regard to the retention of the Irish members, the position which I have already adopted, and which I then represented, is that with the concession of full powers to an Irish Legislature, equivalent to that enjoyed by a State of the American Union, the number and position of the members of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

semble at 5 o'clock this evening. It was learned after the adjournment that a strong feeling in favor of Parnell's resigning the leadership was manifested, but the minority vehemently opposed it.

A SECRET SCENE.
A secret scene occurred at the meeting of the Parnellite members of the House of Commons last evening, after the letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley had been made public. The action of Mr. McCarthy in not making known the contents of Mr. Gladstone's letter at the meeting of the Parnellites yesterday morning was severely criticized. A majority of the Parnellite members present at the meeting last night said they had believed, previous to the meeting in the morning, that Mr. Parnell, after his re-election, would not take an active part in politics for a few months. They now believe if Mr. Gladstone's letter had been read at the morning meeting the result of the motion to retain Mr. Parnell in the leadership would have been different.

A GIBE REBUKED.
Lord Salisbury, in a speech in the House of Lords yesterday, said the Parnellites had various modes of escaping, some preferring to go to the United States, and others to the Continent. (The *Chronicle* today describes this gibe as vulgar and undignified, and adds: "Lord Salisbury might have remembered that he was addressing a chamber where his own unchallenged social position was as well established as that of any other member of the House of Lords.")

LONDON.—Mr. Parnell has issued the following manifesto:
To the Irish People:
The integrity and independence of a section of the Irish Parliamentary party have been apparently secured and destroyed by the wire-pullers of the Liberal party. It is necessary for me, as leader of the Irish party, to take counsel with you, and having given you the knowledge which is my possession, I ask your judgment upon a matter which now solely devolves upon you to decide.

The letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley, written for the purpose of influencing the decision of the Irish party in the choice of their leaders, and claiming for the Liberals and the English party the right of veto upon that choice, is the immediate cause of this address, the purpose of which is to remind you and your parliamentary representatives that Ireland considers the independence of her party the only safeguard within the constitution and above and beyond all other considerations whatever. The threat in that letter, repeated so often, that on many English platforms, and in numerous British newspapers, that unless Ireland concedes indefinitely postponed the choice of obtaining home rule, she will be put before you information which will enable you to understand the measure of the loss which she is threatened unless you consent to throw me and the English wolves now howling for my destruction.

CONSULTING WITH GLADSTONE.
In November of last year, in response to a repeated and long-standing request, Mr. Gladstone at Hawarden and received the details of the intended proposals of himself and his colleagues of the late Liberal party, with regard to home rule in the event of the next general election favoring the Liberal party. It is unnecessary for me to do more at present than to direct your attention to certain facts and details which will be generally recognized and embraced elements vital for your information and the formation of your judgment. These vital points of difficulty may be summarized as follows:

- (1) The retention of Irish members in the Imperial Parliament.
- (2) The settlement of the land or agrarian difficulty in Ireland.
- (3) The control of the Irish consular.
- (4) The appointment of the judiciary, including judges and resident magistrates.

Upon the subject of the retention of Irish members in Parliament Mr. Gladstone told me that the opinion and the honest opinion of his colleagues and himself, recently arrived at after the most mature consideration of all the English public opinion, was that in order to conciliate English public opinion, and to secure English public representation from 1893 to 1900, the settlement of the land question was held that this was one of the questions which must be regarded as a reserved question, and that the control of the Irish Legislature, but at the same time Mr. Gladstone intimated that while he would renew his attempt to settle the matter by Imperial legislation on the line of the Land Bill of 1886, he would not under any circumstances adopt his own side, or insist upon any pressure upon the views of the Irish members, that the Irish Legislature was not to be given the power of solving the agrarian difficulty.

With regard to the control of the Irish consular, it was stated by Mr. Gladstone that public opinion here and his colleagues held that it would be necessary to leave this force to the appointment of its officers under control of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

WISHED TO KEEP THEM THAT.
I have now given a short account of what I gathered of Mr. Gladstone's views and those of his colleagues during the two hours' conversation at Hawarden, a conversation which I am bound to admit was only monopolized by Mr. Gladstone, and will pass to the subject of opinion upon these communications, and, first, with regard to the retention of the Irish members, the position which I have already adopted, and which I then represented, is that with the concession of full powers to an Irish Legislature, equivalent to that enjoyed by a State of the American Union, the number and position of the members of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

WELSH MEMBERS WITH MR. GLADSTONE.
The Welsh members of the party had a meeting to-day, and resolved that they were convinced that the price of retaining Mr. Parnell in the leadership would be the postponement of Home Rule beyond the span of Mr. Gladstone's public life, and that they are more determined than ever to rally to the support of Mr. Gladstone.

semble at 5 o'clock this evening. It was learned after the adjournment that a strong feeling in favor of Parnell's resigning the leadership was manifested, but the minority vehemently opposed it.

A SECRET SCENE.
A secret scene occurred at the meeting of the Parnellite members of the House of Commons last evening, after the letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley had been made public. The action of Mr. McCarthy in not making known the contents of Mr. Gladstone's letter at the meeting of the Parnellites yesterday morning was severely criticized. A majority of the Parnellite members present at the meeting last night said they had believed, previous to the meeting in the morning, that Mr. Parnell, after his re-election, would not take an active part in politics for a few months. They now believe if Mr. Gladstone's letter had been read at the morning meeting the result of the motion to retain Mr. Parnell in the leadership would have been different.

A GIBE REBUKED.
Lord Salisbury, in a speech in the House of Lords yesterday, said the Parnellites had various modes of escaping, some preferring to go to the United States, and others to the Continent. (The *Chronicle* today describes this gibe as vulgar and undignified, and adds: "Lord Salisbury might have remembered that he was addressing a chamber where his own unchallenged social position was as well established as that of any other member of the House of Lords.")

LONDON.—Mr. Parnell has issued the following manifesto:
To the Irish People:
The integrity and independence of a section of the Irish Parliamentary party have been apparently secured and destroyed by the wire-pullers of the Liberal party. It is necessary for me, as leader of the Irish party, to take counsel with you, and having given you the knowledge which is my possession, I ask your judgment upon a matter which now solely devolves upon you to decide.

The letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley, written for the purpose of influencing the decision of the Irish party in the choice of their leaders, and claiming for the Liberals and the English party the right of veto upon that choice, is the immediate cause of this address, the purpose of which is to remind you and your parliamentary representatives that Ireland considers the independence of her party the only safeguard within the constitution and above and beyond all other considerations whatever. The threat in that letter, repeated so often, that on many English platforms, and in numerous British newspapers, that unless Ireland concedes indefinitely postponed the choice of obtaining home rule, she will be put before you information which will enable you to understand the measure of the loss which she is threatened unless you consent to throw me and the English wolves now howling for my destruction.

CONSULTING WITH GLADSTONE.
In November of last year, in response to a repeated and long-standing request, Mr. Gladstone at Hawarden and received the details of the intended proposals of himself and his colleagues of the late Liberal party, with regard to home rule in the event of the next general election favoring the Liberal party. It is unnecessary for me to do more at present than to direct your attention to certain facts and details which will be generally recognized and embraced elements vital for your information and the formation of your judgment. These vital points of difficulty may be summarized as follows:

- (1) The retention of Irish members in the Imperial Parliament.
- (2) The settlement of the land or agrarian difficulty in Ireland.
- (3) The control of the Irish consular.
- (4) The appointment of the judiciary, including judges and resident magistrates.

Upon the subject of the retention of Irish members in Parliament Mr. Gladstone told me that the opinion and the honest opinion of his colleagues and himself, recently arrived at after the most mature consideration of all the English public opinion, was that in order to conciliate English public opinion, and to secure English public representation from 1893 to 1900, the settlement of the land question was held that this was one of the questions which must be regarded as a reserved question, and that the control of the Irish Legislature, but at the same time Mr. Gladstone intimated that while he would renew his attempt to settle the matter by Imperial legislation on the line of the Land Bill of 1886, he would not under any circumstances adopt his own side, or insist upon any pressure upon the views of the Irish members, that the Irish Legislature was not to be given the power of solving the agrarian difficulty.

With regard to the control of the Irish consular, it was stated by Mr. Gladstone that public opinion here and his colleagues held that it would be necessary to leave this force to the appointment of its officers under control of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

WISHED TO KEEP THEM THAT.
I have now given a short account of what I gathered of Mr. Gladstone's views and those of his colleagues during the two hours' conversation at Hawarden, a conversation which I am bound to admit was only monopolized by Mr. Gladstone, and will pass to the subject of opinion upon these communications, and, first, with regard to the retention of the Irish members, the position which I have already adopted, and which I then represented, is that with the concession of full powers to an Irish Legislature, equivalent to that enjoyed by a State of the American Union, the number and position of the members of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

WELSH MEMBERS WITH MR. GLADSTONE.
The Welsh members of the party had a meeting to-day, and resolved that they were convinced that the price of retaining Mr. Parnell in the leadership would be the postponement of Home Rule beyond the span of Mr. Gladstone's public life, and that they are more determined than ever to rally to the support of Mr. Gladstone.

semble at 5 o'clock this evening. It was learned after the adjournment that a strong feeling in favor of Parnell's resigning the leadership was manifested, but the minority vehemently opposed it.

A SECRET SCENE.
A secret scene occurred at the meeting of the Parnellite members of the House of Commons last evening, after the letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley had been made public. The action of Mr. McCarthy in not making known the contents of Mr. Gladstone's letter at the meeting of the Parnellites yesterday morning was severely criticized. A majority of the Parnellite members present at the meeting last night said they had believed, previous to the meeting in the morning, that Mr. Parnell, after his re-election, would not take an active part in politics for a few months. They now believe if Mr. Gladstone's letter had been read at the morning meeting the result of the motion to retain Mr. Parnell in the leadership would have been different.

A GIBE REBUKED.
Lord Salisbury, in a speech in the House of Lords yesterday, said the Parnellites had various modes of escaping, some preferring to go to the United States, and others to the Continent. (The *Chronicle* today describes this gibe as vulgar and undignified, and adds: "Lord Salisbury might have remembered that he was addressing a chamber where his own unchallenged social position was as well established as that of any other member of the House of Lords.")

LONDON.—Mr. Parnell has issued the following manifesto:
To the Irish People:
The integrity and independence of a section of the Irish Parliamentary party have been apparently secured and destroyed by the wire-pullers of the Liberal party. It is necessary for me, as leader of the Irish party, to take counsel with you, and having given you the knowledge which is my possession, I ask your judgment upon a matter which now solely devolves upon you to decide.

The letter from Mr. Gladstone to Mr. Morley, written for the purpose of influencing the decision of the Irish party in the choice of their leaders, and claiming for the Liberals and the English party the right of veto upon that choice, is the immediate cause of this address, the purpose of which is to remind you and your parliamentary representatives that Ireland considers the independence of her party the only safeguard within the constitution and above and beyond all other considerations whatever. The threat in that letter, repeated so often, that on many English platforms, and in numerous British newspapers, that unless Ireland concedes indefinitely postponed the choice of obtaining home rule, she will be put before you information which will enable you to understand the measure of the loss which she is threatened unless you consent to throw me and the English wolves now howling for my destruction.

CONSULTING WITH GLADSTONE.
In November of last year, in response to a repeated and long-standing request, Mr. Gladstone at Hawarden and received the details of the intended proposals of himself and his colleagues of the late Liberal party, with regard to home rule in the event of the next general election favoring the Liberal party. It is unnecessary for me to do more at present than to direct your attention to certain facts and details which will be generally recognized and embraced elements vital for your information and the formation of your judgment. These vital points of difficulty may be summarized as follows:

- (1) The retention of Irish members in the Imperial Parliament.
- (2) The settlement of the land or agrarian difficulty in Ireland.
- (3) The control of the Irish consular.
- (4) The appointment of the judiciary, including judges and resident magistrates.

Upon the subject of the retention of Irish members in Parliament Mr. Gladstone told me that the opinion and the honest opinion of his colleagues and himself, recently arrived at after the most mature consideration of all the English public opinion, was that in order to conciliate English public opinion, and to secure English public representation from 1893 to 1900, the settlement of the land question was held that this was one of the questions which must be regarded as a reserved question, and that the control of the Irish Legislature, but at the same time Mr. Gladstone intimated that while he would renew his attempt to settle the matter by Imperial legislation on the line of the Land Bill of 1886, he would not under any circumstances adopt his own side, or insist upon any pressure upon the views of the Irish members, that the Irish Legislature was not to be given the power of solving the agrarian difficulty.

With regard to the control of the Irish consular, it was stated by Mr. Gladstone that public opinion here and his colleagues held that it would be necessary to leave this force to the appointment of its officers under control of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

WISHED TO KEEP THEM THAT.
I have now given a short account of what I gathered of Mr. Gladstone's views and those of his colleagues during the two hours' conversation at Hawarden, a conversation which I am bound to admit was only monopolized by Mr. Gladstone, and will pass to the subject of opinion upon these communications, and, first, with regard to the retention of the Irish members, the position which I have already adopted, and which I then represented, is that with the concession of full powers to an Irish Legislature, equivalent to that enjoyed by a State of the American Union, the number and position of the members of the Imperial authority for an indefinite period, while funds for its maintenance and equipment would be compulsorily provided out of the Irish revenues. A period of ten or twelve years was suggested as the limit of the time during which the appointment of judges by resident magistrates should be retained in the hands of the Imperial authorities.

LIBERAL FEDERATION.
The Executive Committee of the Liberal Federation at a meeting adopted a resolution expressing its complete satisfaction with Mr. Gladstone's attitude towards the question of the Irish leadership, and assuring him of its hearty confidence.

WELSH MEMBERS WITH MR. GLADSTONE.
The Welsh members of the party had a meeting to-day, and resolved that they were convinced that the price of retaining Mr. Parnell in the leadership would be the postponement of Home Rule beyond the span of Mr. Gladstone's public life, and that they are more determined than ever to rally to the support of Mr. Gladstone.

ABERDEEN ON

His Lordship Says the Sober and L

He Talks about the Crown
Imperial Federation and

A reporter of the *London Gazette* interviewed the Earl of Aberdeen on his arrival in England, and in conversation took place. "I saw you visited the *lancet*, among others?" "Yes; but I ought not in the interview between myself and myself, which I see in *Gazette*, Mr. McLeod seems to have more of himself than I have no doubt it is perfect when I asked him as to the Crofter settlement, he did not. But that was not Lord's opinion. I spoke of the Crofters, visiting the and it would not, of course, to suppose that they all considered *de jure* manner as it was a natural and inevitable result of the new arrangement should have been difficult. For instance, they arrived west at a time of the year July, which did not facilitate prospects. Then it crop. But it is very great deep to these initial difficulties, among the Crofters is one and, what is very important, the system of the as they understand now, as to do at first, that this must be carried out on one principle."

"You, no doubt, saw a working of the so-called North-west?"

"Well, of course I kept open for everything, but I feel some diffidence in such a topic. I went as a visitor and I have not to obstruct my views on people. Still, if you opinion, I would say that the Crofters complained, evidently not without cause, that the system of the Crofters does not work. It is obviously reasonable to permit, but where drawn? One man may see of wine, and they keep on retelling the case are no doubt many of though in the North-west, I was delighted to see the people, and together in such masses Toronto show—a remark by the way, of Canada's cultural progress. These Crofters, the most perfect behavior; and it should that no intoxicating liquor be sold on the grounds, of that system on a complete regarded as a complete very interesting that the have felt able to introduce this. I found the Central Fair, Ottawa, a healthy public opinion of temperance."

"How would I deal with the question in Canada?" "To presume to give an answer to a question of the kind is to judge the high the one I would advocate apply it to the whole of the have reason for belief of those who use stringent measures of prohibition to the high. By it you make those interested in checking it. As it is, I am sure the difficulties in carrying hope the Canadian people question until they have factory footing. They noble example in their this and other question tion."

THE RE-QUEMANT DANCE.
"Of course after the North-west we went to the Coast, and were much pleased to see the people. I noticed that the papers about the *lancet* being used as a larger document. When I overheard that the *lancet* had been recently taken, then in the dry of receiving necessary redoubt, with the new *lancet* services and other *lancet* Pacific Coast, plenty of dock, and I have reason a very short time you requirements for the education in this direction quickly met."

THE REALITY OF THE
"You have praised Canadian people, what to say about their loyalty?—That was to me gratifying. Take for we visited in Ontario children sing 'God Save our heartiest and progress I had the honor of a luncheon, and I saw the company—no creeds—rose and sang Anthem. There was a about the proceedings gone to the heart of it did to mine. Even, commercial Union movement times pointed to as to the British connection it do not in their so far as I can judge, to alter the present rule the Mother Country obvious query is, 'Can commercial Union, and no Union?'"

IS IMPERIAL FEDERATION
"Did it strike you loyalty was likely to be in any measure of Imperial Federation friends, a member of the League

ABERDEEN ON

He Talks about the Crown
Imperial Federation and

A reporter of the *London Gazette* interviewed the Earl of Aberdeen on his arrival in England, and in conversation took place. "I saw you visited the *lancet*, among others?" "Yes; but I ought not in the interview between myself and myself, which I see in *Gazette*, Mr. McLeod seems to have more of himself than I have no doubt it is perfect when I asked him as to the Crofter settlement, he did not. But that was not Lord's opinion. I spoke of the Crofters, visiting the and it would not, of course, to suppose that they all considered *de jure* manner as it was a natural and inevitable result of the new arrangement should have been difficult. For instance, they arrived west at a time of the year July, which did not facilitate prospects. Then it crop. But it is very great deep to these initial difficulties, among the Crofters is one and, what is very important, the system of the as they understand now, as to do at first, that this must be carried out on one principle."

"You, no doubt, saw a working of the so-called North-west?"

"Well, of course I kept open for everything, but I feel some diffidence in such a topic. I went as a visitor and I have not to obstruct my views on people. Still, if you opinion, I would say that the Crofters complained, evidently not without cause, that the system of the Crofters does not work. It is obviously reasonable to permit, but where drawn? One man may see of wine, and they keep on retelling the case are no doubt many of though in the North-west, I was delighted to see the people, and together in such masses Toronto show—a remark by the way, of Canada's cultural progress. These Crofters, the most perfect behavior; and it should that no intoxicating liquor be sold on the grounds, of that system on a complete regarded as a complete very interesting that the have felt able to introduce this. I found the Central Fair, Ottawa, a healthy public opinion of temperance."

"How would I deal with the question in Canada?" "To presume to give an answer to a question of the kind is to judge the high the one I would advocate apply it to the whole of the have reason for belief of those who use stringent measures of prohibition to the high. By it you make those interested in checking it. As it is, I am sure the difficulties in carrying hope the Canadian people question until